





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,198		11/18/2001	William Ho Chang	1282-010/MMM	5725
21034	7590	07/06/2004		EXAMI	NER
IPSOLON		V #2740	KIM, HAROLD J		
	805 SW BROADWAY, #2740 PORTLAND, OR 97205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			2182	
		•		DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

;						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055 1-45 0	09/992,198	CHANG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harold Kim	2182				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	inication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI oly will, by statute, cause the application to become A safter the mailing date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>18 November 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 Novemb</u>	<u>er 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.				
	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya					
	ng the correction is required if the drawing					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	y documents have been received.					
	by documents have been received in A					
·	s of the priority documents have beer ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	rreceived in this ivational Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office act		t received				
See the attached detailed Office act	is To a not of the defined depice he					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	· · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	or PTO/SB/08) 5)					

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-49 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. In re claim 3, the phrase "in which in which" is not clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 17-19, 21, 23, 24, 33-38, 48 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Slaughter et al., US Patent no. 6,643,650.
- 7. In re claim 1, Slaughter et al. shows a data output control method [fig 39a, and fig 40a] that controls interaction between an information apparatus [1750, fig 39a] and a selected output device [1756, 1754, fig 39a] in connection with rendering at the selected output device output content managed with the information apparatus, comprising:

Art Unit: 2182

storing at least part of an output device object having one or more attributes relating to the selected output device [2056, fig 44b];

providing the at least part of the output device object to the information apparatus by wireless transmission [fig 39a]; and

receiving output data from the information apparatus by wireless transmission [fig 39a], the output data relating to the output content managed with the information apparatus, and passing the output data to the selected output device for rendering of the output content [fig 39a; 2058, fig 44b].

- 8. In re claim 2, Slaughter et al. shows device dependent data with respect to the output device [1960, fig 42].
- 9. In re claim 3, Slaughter et al. shows a display screen [1750, fig 39a].
- 10. In re claim 4, Slaughter et al. shows means for posting or broadcasting its availability for service [2057, fig 44b].
- 11. In re claim 6, Slaughter et al. shows that the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in response to a service request received from the information apparatus [fig 44b].
- 12. In re claim 8, Slaughter et al. shows a printer [fig 39b].
- 13. Claims 17-19, 21, 23, 24, 33-38, 48 and 49 are rejected under the same rationale as discussed above in claims 1-4, 6, and 8.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/992,198

Art Unit: 2182

14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 15. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 16. Claims 5, 7, 9-16, 20, 22, 25-32, and 39-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Slaughter et al., US Patent no. 6,643,650.
- 17. In re claims 5, 7, and 9-16, Slaughter et al. does not show receiving plural service requests from plural information apparatuses, the output controller providing the at least part of the output device object to each of the plural information apparatuses, and the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in more than one communication session with the information apparatus. However, receiving plural service requests from plural information apparatuses and output controller providing the at least part of the output device object to each of the plural information apparatuses, and the at least part of the output device object is provided to the information apparatus in more than one communication session with the information apparatus are obvious since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. V. Bemis Co.,

Art Unit: 2182

18. Claims 20, 22, 25-32, and 39-47 are rejected under the same rationale as discussed above in claims 5, 7, and 9-16.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Further references of interest are cited on Form PLO-892, which is attachment to this office action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop ____ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

The centralized fax number is 703 872-9306.

The centralized hand carry paper drop off location is:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
2011 South Clark Place
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the technology center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Direct any inquiries concerning drawing review to the Drawing Review Branch (703) 305-8404.

Art Unit: 2182

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harold Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-1948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on 703-308-3301. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harold J. Kim

U.V.

Patent Examiner

June 28, 2004/HK